



**DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF DEMOCRACY AND
POLITICAL AFFAIRS**

DIRECTORATE OF DEMOCRATIC INSTITUTIONS

Project «GOOD GOVERNANCE IN THE INFORMATION SOCIETY»

CAHDE (2009) 4E

Strasbourg, 20 January 2009

**Indicative Guide No.4 to Recommendation Rec (2009) .. of Committee of
Ministers to member states on e-democracy**

A framework for reporting e-democracy initiatives

Prepared by the Council of Europe's Ad Hoc Committee on e-democracy (CAHDE)

This framework was originally designed by Professor Lawrence Pratchett, De Montfort University, UK, and subsequently adopted and further developed by and for, the Council of Europe's Ad hoc Committee on e-democracy (CAHDE) for its activities on collecting and comparing (good) practices in e-democracy initiatives.

1. **Brief description** (including novel elements in respect to existing e-democracy pilots)
2. **Basis of initiative**
 - Who initiated the project (type of actor: political leader, civil servant, political party, NGO, interest or issue based networks, citizens, media, commercial organisation)?
 - Why and when was it initiated?
 - What was the main objective / goal of the initiative?
 - Is there public interest / debate on the initiative, its success/failure, and the follow-up to its evaluation?
 - How was it developed from the initial idea - and what were the timelines?
 - When - if - and how was it finished?
 - How does this initiative relate to other democracy initiatives in the area (both online and offline)?
3. **Management**
 - Who had overall responsibility for the project (incl. position), was there an agreed commitment?
 - What partners - incl. public and private - were there and what were their roles?
 - Were there other key actors (besides project partners) ?
 - How was the project financed, and can the costs be assessed (financial and human resources)?
 - What plans are there for sustainability/follow up?
4. **Focus**
 - What technologies were used, and why was the specific option chosen?
 - What was the focus of the initiative (e.g. nationwide / regional / local, a particular territory, a particular group of citizens, or issue based, cross-border)?
 - What policy issues were the subject of the initiative, and were there any selection criteria / processes?
 - How was participation in the initiative promoted (including marketing/publicity) ?
 - What was the intended / effective participation (quantity, quality) ?
 - What was the intended / achieved level of public impact (e.g. information - consultation - involvement - collaboration - empowerment) ?

5. Lessons (where relevant)

- What opportunities were seized and/or what problems did the initiative encounter (e.g. central/regional/local government support, finance and other resources, legal barriers etc) and how were these addressed?
- Were there any specific financial or technical constraints which inhibited the development of the initiative?
- What factors provided political support for the initiative?
- How was the digital divide issue (citizen's access to the relevant technologies) addressed?
- What (if any) were/are the demands/expectations from citizens for such initiatives?
- Have they been satisfied, and what were the main comments by the users?

6. Evaluation

- a. What were the main achievements of initiatives?
- b. Who undertook the evaluation (eg a third party) ?
- c. What criteria have been used to evaluate the initiative (methodological approach focusing on criteria such as e.g. degree of participation, responsiveness of decision-makers, motivation to participate, satisfaction of participants, etc)?
- d. (Where) Is the evaluation published?
- e. What initiatives are emerging as a result of the evaluation?
- f. What, if any, are the next steps?